

## **REMARKS**

In the final Office Action<sup>1</sup>, the Examiner rejected claims 27-42 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as anticipated by the "Core Wed3D" document ("*Core*").

By this Amendment after final, Applicant proposes to amend claims 27, 30, 33, 34, 37, 38, 41, and 42.

Applicant respectfully traverses the rejection of claims 27-42 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as allegedly anticipated by *Core*. *Core* fails to anticipate claims 27-42. Claim 27, for example, recites a content providing method including, among other things:

forming multimedia content by collecting scenes, each scene containing a component group formed by customizing and arbitrarily combining a plurality of components operating on a browser, the scene also containing a script for operating each component, and

managing a life cycle of each component, wherein the life cycle comprises a plurality of states for each component.

*Core* generally describes "world builders," which include libraries of previously created objects, texture maps, background images, and audio files that can be assembled into comprehensive worlds or used as the basis for other objects (at p. 1). The "world builders" described by *Core* include sample scenes that can be used as a starting point for building worlds. However, the "world builder" disclosed by *Core* does not include scenes containing a component group, formed by customizing and arbitrarily combining a plurality of components operating on a browser. Furthermore, contrary to

---

<sup>1</sup> The Office Action contains a number of statements reflecting characterizations of the related art and the claims. Regardless of whether any such statement is identified herein, Applicants decline to automatically subscribe to any statement or characterization in the Office Action.

the Examiner's assertions, *Core* also fails to disclose a script for operating each component.

Because the elements described by *Core* as part of the "world builders" are all previously created objects, *Core* fails to disclose customization and arbitrary combination of components or a script for operating each component. *Core* also does not disclose "managing a life cycle of each component, wherein the life cycle comprises a plurality of states for each component." Instead, *Core* merely teaches assembling objects into a scene where hyperlinks, lights, and viewpoints can be added (at p. 1). However, these do not constitute "a plurality of states" of a "life cycle" for each component, as recited in amended claim 27.

For these additional reasons, *Core* fails to disclose each and every element of claim 27. Claims 28-42, although of different scope than claim 27, also distinguish over the disclosure of *Core*. Therefore, these claims are also allowable.

Applicant respectfully requests that this Amendment under 37 C.F.R. § 1.116 be entered by the Examiner, placing claims 27-42 in condition for allowance. This Amendment should allow for immediate action by the Examiner.

In the alternative, Applicant submits that the entry of the amendment would place the application in better form for appeal, should the Examiner dispute the patentability of the pending claims.

In view of the foregoing remarks, Applicant submits that this claimed invention, as amended, is neither anticipated nor rendered obvious in view of the prior art references cited against this application. Applicant therefore requests the entry of this

Amendment, the Examiner's reconsideration of the application, and the timely allowance of the pending claims.

Please grant any extensions of time required to enter this response and charge any additional required fees to Deposit Account 06-0916.

Respectfully submitted,

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,  
GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.

Dated: June 22, 2007

By: 

Michael R. Kelly  
Reg. No. 33,921